2022

Organization States PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

773 山HXHZ

F02

DC T

60/01/10 00

*0999-00649-29-47 RETURN TO SENDER DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD BC: 22313145050

hillia Hallan Hababbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbb

LE Died Your 22314 US PASTAGE 0 16-1265 164 15 \$00.420 116/2008

٠.::

7707481EE7 CEP\$96

Copied from 11660337 on 05/13/2009

ELEVITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JAN 1 5 2009

IFW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| MADEAN | / | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/660,337 | 09/11/2003 | | Leslie Mark Ernest | AUS920030409US1 5985 | |
| Darcell Walke | 7590 | 12/16/2008 | | EXAM | MINER |
| Suite 250 | - | • | BATES, KEVIN T | | |
| 9301 Southwest Freeway Houston, TX 77074 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2456 | |
| | | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | • | 12/16/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/660,337 | ERNEST ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| KEVIN BATES | 2456 | | |

| | LAdminer | Art Unit | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | KEVIN BATES | 2456 | | | | | | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress – | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance we | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount on the shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria | ate extension fee e action: or (2) as | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since a | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because | | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | E below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | cted claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | ` '' | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | - | _ | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will rided below or appended. | be entered and an ex | planation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7,8,10 and 12-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavit | tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is | be entered necessary and | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | I and/or appellant fails | to provide a | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| | /Kevin Bates/ | | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art Ur | nit 2456 | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081212

Continuation of 3. NOTE: they include the added limitaiton that the link to a document is created at a destination location.